

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
-United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,743	01/19/2005	Jan Hall	21547-00302-US1	1919
	7590 06/26/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LI	מי	EXAM	INER
1875 EYE STR		J r	BUMGARNE	R, MELBA N
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,, 20 2000		3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/521,743	HALL, JAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melba Bumgarner	3732			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period our to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	anuary 2005.	·			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-, (-, -, (-,			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau		9			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
Attachmer			(DTO 442)			
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
S. Patent and 1	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/521,743

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors, including recitation of limitations lacking sufficient antecedent basis.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-6 and 10-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 10-17 of copending Application No. 10/520,759. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as to the functional limitations of the jaw bone hole and osteoinductive material.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-12 and 14-20 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gayer et al. (6,214,049). Gayer et al. disclose an arrangement and an implant 900 comprising osteoinductive material 906 comprising growth-stimulating substance (GSS) arranged on the outer part of an implant. The implant is capable of use in a jaw bone hole of a diameter larger that the diameter of the implant, to lie in the same line as the tooth root (hole), and form space between the implant surface and hole wall (column 12 line 50). The GSS is arranged as porous layers on the implant outer surface and can be called a reservoir for GSS. The osteoinductive material comprises bone substitute (column 11 line 20). The implant is curved in relation to the main longitudinal extent of the implant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 13 is rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gayer et al. Gayer et al. discloses an implant that shows the limitations as described above; however, they do not explicitly show the implant designed with two or three parts. Gayer et al. discloses that the implant may be shaped to conform to the bone cavity and endosseous dental implant in the art with various shapes including tripod. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shown implant with the implant designed in parts in order to conform to the shape and size of the bone hole in view of Gayer et al.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bunguenec

Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner